designated that the college or the university would stand part of this, it's approximately 20 percent. It does cover many of the points that Senator Warner did, and I will not repeat those. But I think that it...you have to obviously recognize that either we're going to pay for some now or in time we're going to have to pay for it again as they attempt to recover. I'd urge not to do this. In addition, I would submit to you, Senator Hall, it's just as difficult now, just as painful now as we're going through the budget process to make some of the cuts that we're going to have to make as it was three or four years ago, because we have so many requests, many worthwhile requests. This one is particularly painful. On the other hand, it is an ongoing program that is going strong now, as in the College of Pharmacy it doesn't make sense to weaken it now. It's one of the strong points that we have in the med center. I think we should continue with that. So we just as well take our medicine and move on, as painful as I recognize that it is, as well as frustrating.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Scofield, followed by Senator Dierks.

SENATOR SCOFIELD: Mr. Speaker and members, I can appreciate the frustration that's being vented here. I lived through this a few years ago, a little different situation, but we building collapse in Chadron, half of which has had to be torn down. It was a revenue bond facility. And I remember wanting to go hang somebody. It's kind of the same situation here. think Senator Moore is saying, boy, I'd like to get somebody out of this, and I certainly appreciate that. I fumed all the way through the hearing on this, and I guess I haven't completely put that behind me yet. But, as has already been explained here, we're already doing a cost share basis here that appears to be, I think, a fair way to handle this and that is in the best interest of the student. Because I guess it is kind of like a situation when you can't kick who you really want to and you go home and you kick the dog, and I think who we're kicking here is more than likely the students, because if you up this cost sharing basis to the level that Senator Moore's amendment would do, we don't know for sure where that would come out of. We don't even know for sure where the current level will come But I think it's a fairly reasonable assumption to say that to raise that any more is probably going to affect programs, is probably going to affect Chancellor Andrews and his institution, which I think he's done a fine job of running and