

here, oh, what, five or six months ago? Do you recall that somebody had purchased a \$5 million computer? The computer had already been shipped and was on the campus of the university and then one of the board of regents found out about it and raised a question, and you know, by golly, they didn't buy that \$5 million computer, but somebody had already purchased it.

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR HABERMAN: So if you think about that fiasco and you tie that into this fiasco, possibly Senator Schmit's amendment and some of the other people who are questioning this, has some substance, that this body or someone should take the extra step and find out just exactly what happened, and those people, who is responsible. I think we've been called to task for years now on Commonwealth and State Securities. Those people are hammering us to death to find out who is responsible, so maybe we should do the same thing here. I just wanted to bring to your attention, Senator Schmit, I'm through if you want the rest of the time, to bring to your attention the \$5 million computer that was purchased, then sent back. Maybe some of these things should have been looked into further. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Goodrich, please, followed by Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Mr. President, members, I just wanted to rise and answer some of the questions that have been brought up. One of the questions by Senator Schellpeper, for example, was referring to three engineering studies relative to this particular problem. That's right, there were three studies, one contracted for by the university in 1982. That was to determine what, if anything, there was in the way of a problem, was there a problem in other words. Yes, there was a problem. Number two, the second one was in '84. That one was contracted for by the contractor and the architectural firms relative to what is that problem. That was done for their benefit, for example, and they are the ones that are trying to defend themselves against the potential lawsuit. So, consequently, they have their engineering firms go in and look at it and say well, there's no problem at all, if they can possibly get away with that. The final one was the study made by the Leo Daly company in '88 which really determined the extent of the problem. One of the next points I'd like to make though is relative to what is the solution, the proper solution to the problem and one of them