

square feet unless you want to build some kind of a monumental building and I'm not...it would be interesting for me to know from somebody whether or not the money we're spending to repair it would, in fact, be enough to build another building even though it might not fit architecturally among the aesthetic value of the rest of the buildings, whatever that might be. We should probably and could probably have a better understanding about that. And I guess the next concern some of us have at least is that if the system now in place continues to exist, maybe we have some concern over the fact that we gave this same system another 40 some million plus to build new buildings with and hopefully we won't have any serious problems with that. The legal advice I understand is separate legal advice from the university. It has nothing to do with the Attorney General's Office, so if that legal advice is also still in place, what kind of circumstance are we putting ourselves into? Serious questions that...I guess I should also ask or suggest something else, if, in fact, we are concerned about this, maybe, maybe we could just build a fence around it so nobody could get into it, have a lottery, offer a \$100,000 prize to somebody who can pick the year, the month, the week and the day when it would fall down. In the meantime, while it's falling down, we could paint it because if it receives some architectural awards maybe it could be, in fact, a piece of art or at least something recognized at that, if it can't be a building and then use that money to pay for whatever it costs to build the kind of a building. I say that with tongue in cheek, but why not? Because given the options we really don't have now when we consider this particular issue, it could be something we should maybe seriously consider. I will probably not support the Schmit amendment because I think we do have to resolve the problem. However, I think these are kinds of questions we have to be asked and hopefully before it's discussed again on Select File we can have some answers to those questions and some others.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Schmit, please, followed by Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members, I feel a little better about Cushing after visiting with Senator Langford. Apparently she is satisfied that that project out there is being handled differently than this one and certainly we all hope so. I guess that I was curious as to whether or not the attorneys who advised the regents and the medical school, if they did so,