

April 5, 1989

LB 640

that sort of thing is frivolous at that point and I think we need to make this process be one that is a meaningful process, not something that is just going to be harassment of the public official. So that is one of the major changes we make as we do say that you have to have a stated reason for the recall. Second, a second change that we make is that an instruction to petition circulators would be printed on all of the petitions so that circulators know exactly all of the rules that govern petition circulating and recall cases. I think this would just have to be stated on the petition form itself. Another change that we make, this deals with the prohibition of the payment of petition circulators. We've also got a bill on Select File that does that now, and if we can keep that bill moving on Select File, this section will be irrelevant but it also contains the language that repeals our prohibition against payment of circulators because that is unconstitutional. The next thing that the bill does is dealing with a majority of the board, again, if a majority of the board is subject...is recalled and it sets up the process whereby the Secretary of State will expeditiously call for a special election to elect enough members so that the board can continue to operate because there is no process in the system right now. The Secretary of State has done this in the past but just on his own volition he has done this. It hasn't been spelled out in statute, the process. Another change that we would make that when there is going to be a recall election, it would be stated on the ballot what a vote for and what a vote against the recall means because there has been some confusion among voters. They don't know if they are voting for the recall or for the person or against the recall or against the person, so this would be clearly spelled out as instructions to the voter on the ballot so that they would understand exactly what they were voting for in the case of a recall. The next thing that is added is that the bill would prohibit a recall of a person in his first six months of office. We presently have a prohibition against the last six months in office. The committee felt like we need to have one in the first six months of office because there have been a couple of recalls in this state where a person who has lost an election turns around immediately and files for the recall of the person that beat him in the election. I think that that person needs to have an opportunity to show that they are capable of doing the job, capable of handling a job at least six months in the job before they can be subject to a recall. And the final thing that the bill does is it adds penalties for the violations of the recall laws. We've had all these laws in place all these