

right now, look, if we dump you off this plan then we can cut your rates down and we'll stick it to the CHIP program, see, and you won't have to wait any because they've got this waiver thing and so everybody says, well, okay, we'll go for that. If you got this waiver in there, hopefully the employees and employers say no way, we're not going to go for that. You can't do that to us, that's wrong. And so we hope that by stopping the waiver we'll stop that dumping and that's just not the right thing to do. They are taking advantage of us and that's wrong.

SENATOR NELSON: I know that and I know that's what has happened. Well, thank you. I think you cleared up my question, not that I like the answer, but I think you cleared it up.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Withem. Additional discussion on the suspension motion, Senator Withem. Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: (Response inaudible.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Pardon?

SENATOR HARTNETT: I'll call the question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hartnett moves the previous question. Five hands please? I do. Those in favor of ceasing debate please vote aye, opposed nay. Shall debate cease? Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 2 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Debate ceases. For closing, Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr Speaker, members of the Legislature, remember this is the rule suspension, not the underlying issues. I would certainly accept Tim Hall's notion of dividing the question if we need to. If we suspend the rules we can get on to the question of whether we should adopt these two ideas or not to the bill, and there will be plenty of time for a substantive exchange of arguments and ideas and questions at that point. Having invested this amount of time, I hope it will allow us to suspend the rules to consider the adoption of these amendments. With that, I'd ask for the rule suspension from my colleagues so that we may discuss these two concepts. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The question is, shall the rules be suspended?