

April 4, 1989

LB 279

not that situation.

SENATOR LANDIS: Right.

SENATOR WITHEM: The situation I was describing was...

SENATOR LANDIS: Just the service contract.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...a service only sort of business. We had not sold the product.

SENATOR LANDIS: That's true, that is different.

SENATOR WITHEM: Okay. Yeah, I can understand Section 5 now. I understand that. And I think Senator Landis's interpretation is probably correct. I guess...I've got somewhat of a concern. I have a concern about utility corporations getting into this particular business anyway, competing, because they have a ratepayers base that conceivably they can use as a subsidization of their business...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time has expired.

SENATOR WITHEM: I've got my light on, I'll speak again at a later date.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Mr. President, I'd like to let Senator Withem continue because I'm interested in this area of discussion. I'd give him the balance of my time.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hall extends that courtesy. Senator Withem, proceed.

SENATOR WITHEM: Well, thank you, I won't need all of it I don't think. I was just going to say that I've got a concern about this area anyway because of the small business person such as Senator Wesely and myself example, trying to make a living in a given area, competing against a utility corporation, to have the possibility, and I know they always indicate that they don't do this, but they have the possibility of cross subsidization, using the ratepayers dollars to help subsidize their service business. And they talk about the differing accounting and all that, but the possibility is still there that that large