

have to be willing to ask for some understanding about what we're hoping to accomplish. We open the check and let people write in the amount, maybe it's time we had some restrictions and some understandings involved. I feel that the original bill that we passed in 1986, and has been further amended, is a much better bill than we now have in LB 775. But in both cases accountability and disclosure make sense. We're talking about, in the case of LB 270, a total tax credit of about \$945,000 for 1987, about a million dollars. In the case of 775 we're estimating that that is almost a \$400 million obligation that we're dealing with, an obligation that has held far into the future. It's not one that's taken immediately, it's one that is built up and utilized over up to 15 years. We're talking about \$400 million, it seems not an accounting and an understanding of that money is in order. Yesterday I had a bill on business networking, and it was an important bill to me, but kind of a small bill in general. Some members of this body didn't want to see that bill advance because of the \$100,000 cost to it. You want to be sure it was money that was well spent. Well, if we can stop legislation dealing with \$100,000, and I think clearly as good as that bill was, I can't see where we can't deal with million dollar and \$400 million pieces of legislation and ask for a similar accounting to make sure our money is well spent, and money that the taxpayers can feel good about having out there in the state. I know we're supposed to deal primarily with the question of germaneness. I'm trying to keep it tied to that, but I would like very much to have the chance to discuss the issue further and hope that we will have a chance to override the ruling of the Chair. Whether you agree or disagree with my amendment, and you can feel whatever way you want on it, I still think we are too restrictive to not allow a bill dealing with a particular act to not be amended with further amendment to that act. I just can't see where that isn't germane.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Anyone care to speak to the motion to overrule? Senator Hannibal, your light is on, also Senator Hall's light is on.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. I rise to support the Chair's decision and would urge that you sustain the Chair's position that this amendment is nongermane. I have applauded the Speaker's strictness, if you will, in ruling on germaneness, and I know we have differing views on this on the floor. Maybe there might be an issue come up some time later and I won't applaud it quite so much, but so far I've been very