

review with a much more expansive area of decision making by the court. That reverses the normal pyramid that you want in jurisprudence. What you want is a system that reduces the amount of review so that the issues grow narrower and narrower as you proceed up the ladder of courts, so that courts at the top are not burdened with issues that should have fallen out some place lower on the pyramid. Unfortunately our existing system promotes appeal to the Supreme Court because that is when a person can get a chance to overrule an agency and find the agency reached a mistaken conclusion as opposed to an arbitrary conclusion. LB 213 reverses the standards of review, and it says district courts will review the agencies based on de novo on the record. They'll take a look at the same body of facts, the same record, but the court will come to an independent conclusion as to what the right answer is. That's the broadest latitude, and that should take care of most of the appeals. Then the Supreme Court will overhear the case based on error. And in that case, the Supreme Court should be faced with fewer cases because the district will have answered the decisions, and in that case I hope we have had a more sensible form of administrative appeal and reduced the work load of the Supreme Court. With that, I would urge the adoption and advancement of LB 213.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Senator Landis. Is there any further discussion on the advancement of LB 213? Senator Landis, you're in luck again.

SENATOR LANDIS: (Inaudible response.)

SENATOR LABEDZ: We're voting now on the advancement of LB 213 to E & R Initial. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please vote, if you care to. Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: It couldn't be that the body would force me into making a call of the house to get us on record to support what is clearly a meritorious measure that came out unanimously from the committee. Oh, I guess they wouldn't. Okay, you're right.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Very good, Senator Landis. Record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on the advancement of the bill.