

March 30, 1989

LB 143, 323

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Landis, I just wanted to clarify for myself the American Medical Association, the optometrists, the ophthalmologists, they all see eye-to-eye on this bill?

SENATOR LANDIS: That's good, that's rich, that's good. Yes, yes, in fact, they do, Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, I see much clearer now on this bill. Thank you.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Is there any further discussion on LB 323?

SENATOR LANDIS: Not after that.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Landis, closing? Senator Landis waives closing. We're voting on the advancement to E & R Initial LB 323. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays on the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR LABEDZ: LB 323 is advanced. Mr. Clerk, LB 143.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Madam President, LB 143 was introduced by Senator Baack. (Read title.) The bill was read for the first time on January 5, was referred to the Education Committee, which returns it to General File.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Baack.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, Madam Chair and members. LB 143 is a purely technical bill. What it does is it eliminates some obsolete language that was put in for the technical community colleges and their state aid distribution formula, was put in in 1985, I believe, and provided for a phase-in, the phase-in years, covered '84-85, '85-86, '86-87, and then the three year phase-in was gone. The only problem they've run into with this is some of their auditors have looked at this a couple of times and, not understanding that the phase-in is over, they wonder why this language is still in place. This would simply eliminate this language. The bill is probably actually almost a revisor's bill in its intent. So, with that. I would just urge advancement of the bill.