register their motor vehicle at their place of residence and I suspect in most cases it's because, by registering it in some other location, there is a lesser tax levy and, as a result, as a result reduce what they are paying. What this bill does, it merely increases the fine maximum that would be permitted. current statute is a Class V misdemeanor which is a maximum of a and this would change it to a Class IV which is a range of 100 to 500. Obviously, it would not necessarily correct the problem but, at least, it would make violations of this nature a little more expensive. I don't have statistics to prove this but I suspect that those individuals who may opt to do this it's more likely to be the more expensive vehicles, perhaps, which they could have a savings even if they were caught in a fine so they wouldn't really be concerned with it. If that fine was heavier, why then, obviously, they may give more thought to whether or not they would register the vehicle appropriate county where it was actually housed. So I would move that the bill be advanced as an effort to, at least, reduce the incidence of this kind of a problem.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall LB 75 be advanced to E & R Initial? All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of LB 75.

SPEAKER BARRETT: LB 75 advances. As indicated earlier, we temporarily pass over LB 608. Another bill has been deleted from the agenda, LB 129, and 129A, near the bottom of the agenda, has just been deleted. LB 129 comes off. The next bill, Mr. Clerk, LB 359.

Mr. President, LB 359 was a bill introduced by Senator CLERK: Wesely. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 11, Reserved to the Banking committee, advanced to General File. I do have a motion.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Mr. Clerk. Senator Wesely, would you like to explain your bill before we go to the motion?

SENATOR WESELY: Right.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you.