

directly to the Supreme Court?

SENATOR WARNER: No, no change.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay, so this really does not alleviate then any of the...a significant part of the Supreme Court burden?

SENATOR WARNER: Probably...well I'm sure it wouldn't, Senator Pirsch, because the bulk of these kinds of infractions that currently occur would never be of a nature that would get to the Supreme Court anyway, I don't think.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Right. So now instead of being appealed directly to the Supreme Court they will probably be satisfied in the lower court.

SENATOR WARNER: I would imagine in virtually every instance.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Any other discussion? If not, the question is the advancement of LB 78. Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Please record.

CLERK: 32 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of LB 78.

SPEAKER BARRETT: LB 78 is advanced. To LB 438.

CLERK: LB 438, Mr. President, was a bill introduced by Senators Wehrbein and Hall. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 13. It was referred to the Education Committee. The bill was advanced to General File. Mr. President, before we proceed, I do have a request from Senator Chambers to add his name as co-introducer to the bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: If there are no objections, so ordered. Senator Wehrbein, would you care to explain LB 438.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, I would, Mr. President, I thought Senator Baack was going to have an amendment on this.

SPEAKER BARRETT: May we ask for an explanation of the bill first, a brief explanation, then we will go to the amendment.