

amendment to the amendment. Senator Baack.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members, I have no problem with this amendment to the amendment at all. The committee meant to put it in, it was just...we inadvertently left it out. There is no problem with this amendment at all.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any other discussion? If not, any closing comment, Senator Robak?

SENATOR ROBAK: I just urge this bill be advanced.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. We are on the adoption of the amendment to the committee amendments. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, record.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Robak's amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The amendment to the amendment is adopted. To the committee amendments again. Senator Baack, anything further?

SENATOR BAACK: Mr. Speaker, I don't think so. I have explained them and Senator Robak has, and they do become the bill. With that, I'd just urge the adoption of the committee amendments.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Lamb, would you care to discuss the committee amendments?

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, Mr. President, just briefly. Question of Senator Baack.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes.

SENATOR LAMB: I believe this bill...I've had a number of letters from local officials that were concerned about the original bill because weekly newspapers are not flexible enough to accommodate the three day notice requirement. Now, as I understand the committee amendment though that concern is alleviated, is it not, in that that is either/or. They can use the notice requirements that they now are using, or they can use the newspaper. Is that correct?

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, Senator Lamb, that's absolutely correct.