ways under item 6, LB 438, that Senator Wehrbein has designated as his priority bill, I think is another way hopefully today, possibly next week early on, we will be able to again talk about the importance and the value that not only the Nebraska School for the Deaf but the School for the Visually Handicapped plays in this state with regard to educating young people who are at a disadvantage with regard to some of their physical abilities. I think that this is a fitting tribute to them and I think the bill that Senator Wehrbein and I sponsored that will have the state pick up those costs will clearly show how important we feel those schools are, and I would urge the body to support Senator Chambers' resolution. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any other discussion? Senator Chambers. Thank you. The question is the adoption of LR 63 as offered by Senator Chambers and Hefner. Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record.

CLERK: 32 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of LR 63.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The resolution is adopted. To General File, senator priority bills, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, the first bill scheduled this morning is LB 262. It was a bill introduced by Senator Hall. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 9, referred to the Government Committee, advanced to General File. The bill was considered on General File, Mr. President, on March 20. At that time, the committee amendments were adopted. Senator Beyer then offered a motion to indefinitely postpone. Senator Hall agreed to lay the bill over. Mr. President, that motion is pending. However, I do have a priority motion and that priority motion is to reconsider adoption of the Baack, Bernard-Stevens, and Conway amendment to LB 262, and that amendment, Mr. President, is on page 1015 of the Legislative Journal.

SPEAKER BARRETT: That being the case, Mr. Clerk, we are then on the motion to reconsider, and the Chair would recognize Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. President. I want to briefly explain to the body why I offer a motion to reconsider. I usually do not offer reconsider motions because I feel once the body has debated an issue thoroughly and has voted, that it is the responsibility of all us to work with the decision of