

March 28, 1989

LB 705

the phone.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, I see. Senator Lindsay, it has been said that this will just apply to this one purchase. How is the bill drafted to make that true?

SENATOR LINDSAY: No, that is not...the primary thrust of it is for that one purpose. It will not, and I didn't mean, if I did mislead the body, I didn't intend doing that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You didn't.

SENATOR LINDSAY: What the intent is that other purchases would be subject to the same exclusion, to the same exemption, but Joslyn's purchases are relatively insignificant as compared to this one other purchase. The figures I have got for you, Senator, are last year I believe is they purchased 140 some thousand...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That is all right, here is the question I want to ask you. Did Joslyn hire a lobbyist for this bill?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yeah, they do have a lobbyist. Whether they have hired it, I don't know.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Hall, may I ask you a question. Do you know if Joslyn's lobbyist is paid?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Chambers, I do not. I do not know. I know that one of the representatives for Joslyn was from the law firm of Fraser-Stryker who provide services to them at no cost, and that was the individual who testified in front of the Revenue Committee in support of this measure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is there somebody out in the lobby now working in behalf of this bill, giving information and so forth?

SENATOR HALL: I think there are at least one, if not two people.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And what are his name or their name, if there be more than one?

SENATOR HALL: Well, Senator Chambers, walk back there and find out, I mean it's...