

but the first point was to raise the point of order. Was that my one time to speak?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Would you care to speak to the question of germaneness? Anyone is (interruption) one time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, I just wanted to be sure that I have a good chance to speak at some time to the question, itself, right. Mr. Chairman, I don't know what possessed Senator Ashford to say that a juvenile court is a district court. A juvenile court is not a district court. If it were a district court, you could take an appeal from county court to juvenile court. If it were a district court, you could have a felony charge brought against you as an adult. When a person has to reach that far out to try to make a point, it shows that there is no point to be made. I can understand his desperation and his desire to have this amendment added to this bill, but, based on the way that the Legislature has chosen to have the germaneness rule interpreted, this is as different from the original bill as anything that has been ruled nongermane. The purpose of LB 147 was to increase the number of district court judges, period. Now Senator Ashford wants an amendment from a different section of statute dealing with an entirely different court and try to increase the number of judges there, too. And if the Chair rules that to be germane, then it can also be used, if we decide, to increase the number of those people on the industrial relations court and all the others. I tell you, again, that I have always pushed for an expansive liberal interpretation of the germaneness rule, but the body has chosen to have it otherwise. And, as I have said before, I don't want it to be ruled that way and supported that way on the basis of the issue that happens to be before us. I would like the rulings to be based on the wording of our rule, and the rule says that if the amendment attempts to accomplish a purpose substantially different from the one that the bill intended to accomplish, it is not germane, and the purpose of this bill was not to increase the number of juvenile court judges. That was not envisioned when the bill was offered. That was not envisioned when the bill was discussed before the committee, and when people came to the committee hearing on LB 147, they didn't come there to discuss the juvenile court system, and it is an