

difficulties that arise, and in time we come back next session, and everybody says, no, we can't touch this issue. We dealt with that issue, let's not get into that issue. Let's forget this issue. Despite problems that come up, we don't resolve these problems next session or whenever we have the opportunity or need to do that. We have done that so often and so frequently that I am very fearful about that. It is almost to a point now where I don't want to pass any bill like this that is important but difficult and one I am uncertain about because of the fact that this Legislature is so reluctant to get back into an issue once we have dealt with it, and sometimes incorrectly, sometimes incorrecly. And I am afraid this bill may end up being that way. I am confident enough to support it but not that confident that I don't recognize that there may be problems that come out of it. And so I am saying to all of you, as you, hopefully, vote to advance the bill, or even if you vote against the bill, that let's not be afraid to deal with this issue again in the future, whether it is on Final Reading or if it is even next year because I think you are going to find some concerns. And what do I think may happen? First off, on definitions, I think the definitions are better than they were but still somewhat vague. I think there will be times in which people are not going to be clear about what is going to be returned or what is meant to be returned here. That is the way with the arbitration process but, nevertheless, the definitions are not clear-cut. There is differences of opinion. A fight may still occur there. The time frames, yes, they are better than they were but are they adequate? Is there enough time for them to review the situation and get back with the Historical Society, or whoever may be involved in this scientific research that is involved here? The time frames may prove to be inadequate. The definitions may prove to be inadequate, as I said. The arbitration process that we adopted may not work. Hopefully, it will, but it may not work, and we have to recognize that possibility. In these and other elements of this bill, there may be the need to revisit this issue, to do changes in the law that we, hopefully, will pass this session, and I, for the record right now, am indicating that if that happens, I, for one, will be willing to come back and revisit the issue and try to make it right. What problems there are, I hope we can correct them and not lose the opportunity to do the best job we can in dealing with this policy matter. Time after time after time, bills are passed here and we voted for them knowing there may be a problem, and when the problems come up, we don't correct them, and I don't want to see that happen again.