

replace the amendment that we agreed to by...that I offered last, I believe, Thursday. So this would be in a replace of that particular amendment as agreed upon version. And I wanted to get those things particularly clear for the record. Thank you, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers, additional discussion?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, I just want to be very brief and, again, for the purpose of the record. I agree with what Senator Kristensen has said and Senator Bernard-Stevens has said, and I hope that Senator Warner will find his way to support this amendment, and Senator Wesely is right when he indicated that a number of sides have merged and we've come up with a consensus. The reason I think it is valuable, the parties that are feuding right now, once they begin to see the Legislature jelling on a definite direction, which we're doing, some of the tempers may cool and there is a possibility that the two sides will agree on a third member and under this amendment that can be done. If they agree, then maybe everything can be taken care of at that point. Maybe there won't even be a disagreement over burial goods, but they can agree on a third person. If they don't, by having the public counsel automatically there, we force the taking of a decision. That's what this amendment primarily will do. It will force the exhaustion of the administrative remedy. Then, as Senator Kristensen pointed out, either party who is dissatisfied can take it to court and appeal. At that point, we don't worry about the Ombudsman, we don't worry about whoever one side selected or who the other selected, there will be a new ball game, so to speak. So I think this is probably the best amendment that could be crafted for the purpose it is to serve. I support it. I hope we will get enough votes to attach it to the bill.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. There are no other lights on. Senator Bernard-Stevens, would you close?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. President, just a quick closing. Again, one of the reasons that I came up with even an idea of an arbitrary or some type of dispute resolution was the difficulty I had as a committee member in going to the Historical Society and seeing the tremendous amount of differences of opinion based on what is and what is not going to go. And it should have been relatively simple to decide. And,