

proposal, if I am unsuccessful, to extend it from one year. This bill originally called for three years and my amendment would reduce that down to a year and a half. Now it seems if we're going to compromise, the compromise ought to be pretty much that and a year and a year and a half may not make that much of a difference but certainly going from three years down to a year and a half is something more reasonable than going from three years down to one year. And so with that understanding, I would move to amend my amendment to reduce the period from three years down to a year and a half in the spirit of compromise and then understanding that three years is too long a time to allow these remains, burial goods to not be returned. At the same time, the present figure in the bill is simply too short a time to do the review necessary so, again, attempting to find that common ground, this amendment would have a year and a half delay before the mandate to return these items.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Wesely, did you ask for a division of the question? And where would you propose to divide the question?

SENATOR WESELY: It would be items one and two would be separated from items three and four.

SPEAKER BARRETT: And the amendment is found on page...

SENATOR WESELY: It would...

SPEAKER BARRETT: In the opinion of the Chair, the question is divisible, Senator Wesely. Which would you prefer to take up first, one and two?

SENATOR WESELY: No, three and four.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Three and four.

SENATOR WESELY: Yes.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Is there an amendment on the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Wesely would move to amend his amendment by striking three years and inserting one and a half years.