

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See page 1328 of the Legislative Journal.) 18 ayes, 7 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

SENATOR LANDIS: The amendment is not accepted. We move now to the Goodrich amendment. Having had the opening, we'll move to the first speaker, Senator Schmit. Please raise the call.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members, we really are discussing only, I believe, or intended to discuss the portion on page 9 of the amendment in the bill book. But since the Chambers amendment was not adopted we, of course, can discuss the entire issue. But as it stands today at this present time I'm against the entire Goodrich amendment. I wanted to say why I'm opposed to the language that Senator Goodrich addressed on page 9 which refers to equipment used in the violation. We know that there are still instances where violations may occur deliberately, we know that when those violations occur that the chance for penalty is not very severe. We know that those violations infrequently are deliberate and therefore we believe and I believe that the equipment should be forfeited, at least the court should have that opportunity. I would have to say that the issue has been debated long and with great deliberation and there have been many, many good arguments proposed by various entities who have been concerned about some kind of an equitable solution. The problem that I see is that it shouldn't even be an issue that needs to be debated to the length and extent that we have done so here today. If in fact violations such as we refer to here do occur, that ought to be considered desecration of sacred burial ground, and they ought to be considered in light of the insult to the dignity of an individual, and we ought to try to address that. All too often in government we have taken steps to protect the rich and the powerful, to protect the influential. In this instance we have a very small, very limited opportunity to protect the sacred beliefs of a small minority of individuals. I believe we have that responsibility to do so. To the extent that the Goodrich amendment reduces that kind of protection, I must oppose the Goodrich amendment. I would hope that the amendment is not adopted.

SPEAKER BARR...T PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, sir. Some special guests of Senator Abboud in the north balcony. We have 36 sixth graders