

SPEAKER BARRETT: The question has been called. Do I see five hands? I do. Those in favor of ceasing debate please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted on ceasing debate? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 8 ayes, 6 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Debate does not cease. Senator Warner, on Section 6 of the Baack amendment.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would rise to oppose this portion of the amendment because of the reason I don't believe it is needed. I would assume that such an agreement or a desire on the part of the tribe affected could voluntarily be done, and the language of the adding to it tends to give an impression that the time is to be an extended one, and when that decision doesn't need to be put into law and that, I would imagine, would be a right to the tribe, if they chose to do so, in any event. I do have an amendment which would not be appropriate to add to the Baack amendment as it is written which would extend it one year. I do have an amendment which will deal with the funding which gives additional funding what is proposed because of the shortness of time that things can be as speedily done as possible. I also have an amendment which would add in a dollar appropriation for acquisition of land for a suitable site in Nebraska that would be selected by the tribe which is in keeping with the motion or the handout that Senator Landis has passed out. My impression, at least, that there are probably more than one site that would be appropriate from those involved, other than the Historical Society. And I have a very great willingness to provide that kind of site. I have no problem at all with the expenditure of General Fund money to acquire a site. My amendment does not provide for the upkeep beyond this period of time. And obviously that would need to be a consideration, but it would be beyond the appropriation period. But all of those things are going to take time. And the addition of at least one year would allow all that to proceed. I'm not in the position of trying to hassle the bill, because I don't like to do that. I've been told repeatedly the bill is going to pass, so all I'm trying to do is to put it in a form that will accommodate the best that this body is willing to accept. And I don't argue and I don't talk long on most things I offer. I offer it, and you can accept or reject, and that is fine with me. But I do think that this amendment, this Section 6 is not needed because that is a