

go to the next page. I was asking if item 6 could be, and perhaps, Senator Baack, if that can be considered separately without creating a problem.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Warner, you are suggesting that the first division be 1 through 5, is that correct?

SENATOR WARNER: And perhaps 7 and 8 could be...all I am asking is if item 6 can be dealt with separately, Mr. President?

SPEAKER BARRETT: You are suggesting that 5 and 6...

SENATOR WARNER: No, sir.

SPEAKER BARRETT: ...be dealt with separately.

SENATOR WARNER: Six, only.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Six, only. Thank you. Senator Baack, would you have any comment?

SENATOR BAACK: I have no problem with that. I am sure we are going to have to deal with that issue straight up front anyway, so we just as well do it here.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The Chair is of the opinion that it is divisible, and we will then address the first part, which is numbers 1 through 5, and the remainder, 7 and 8. We will take item 6 separately. Senator Warner, would you like to speak to the first part at this point, or not?

SENATOR WARNER: The other part of the amendment first, I didn't want to get in that argument.

SPEAKER BARRETT: I was assuming that we were taking 1 through 5 and 7 and 8 first.

SENATOR WARNER: That will be fine.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Okay, thank you. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask Senator Baack a question and then I would like to ask Senator Warner a question, in the interest of facilitating what we do. Senator Baack, if we just divide the question, and, Senator Warner, we