That is all the amendment will do, and in order to do that, I had to take language describing the process from subsection (3) and move it bodily without change into subsection (2). In subsection (3) from which I removed it, there is a reference back to subsection (2) where I placed it, so that makes subsection (2) and subsection (3) subject to the language. I ask that you adopt the amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you, sir. The question is the adoption of the Chambers amendment to LB 340. Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Voting on the Chambers amendment. Have you all voted? Record, please.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Chambers amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment I have is by Senator Baack. Senator, I have AM0838 in front of me. (See page 1093 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Baack.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members, I have a series of three amendments coming up here and these are amendments that, some of them contain some very substantive changes that we made, and they are the result of some of the meetings that Senator Barrett held between the society, and I was involved in those, and also the tribal people. The amendment that we are looking at right now has several major provisions in it. The first one is the definition of burial goods. I know we had a lot of discussion on General File about what is a burial good, and how are we going to define that. And people wanted more specific language, so we attempted to do this, and in this amendment, we would change the definition of burial goods, and it would read as follows: Burial goods shall mean any item or items reasonably believed to have been intentionally placed with a human skeletal remains of an individual at the time of burial and which can be traced with a reasonable degree of certainty to the specific human skeletal remains with which it or they were buried. And I think that that is about as specific as we can get, and I think that the language that we had before was okay, but we tried to even narrow it down more so that we would know exactly what burial goods do go back, and I think we have got it