

Senator Chambers' amendment, I would support that amendment so that the bill would only be effective prospectively rather than in the past. I would support Senator Chambers amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Could I ask Senator Chambers a question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers, would you respond to a question.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will. Senator, your amendment refers to a prospective effective date. In reading the bill, it applies apparently to a conviction in another state on a, for example, speeding charge, but the intent of your amendment is that those prior speeding charges could not be used, for example, to blind side somebody now and take away their license when they didn't know it was going to be occurring?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What it is really doing is saying that the only way those charges could be utilized is if the document supporting that conviction are certified by the court as being convictions under the laws of their state. Once this law takes effect, the documents don't have to be certified any more by the court. They are just sent here, the Department of Motor Vehicles will take them to court, and say that we say these are all right, therefore, take the person's points based on this uncertified document from the other state. So my amendment will still allow the convictions that occurred in other states to apply, but they couldn't apply unless the document was certified by the court. That is as far as I can go with the amendment. I don't like the bill but this amendment doesn't touch any aspects of the bill's operation.

SENATOR LINDSAY: They have still got to continue under the present system for any prior offenses?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, they would have to have the document certified if they were going to use them. So maybe what the department would do is just disregard those uncertified documents and not try to have those points assessed against somebody's license.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Okay, thank you.