

March 23, 1989

LB 154, 155, 254, 265, 281, 421, 619
623

propose to sign and I do sign, LB 154, LB 623, LB 155, LB 619, LB 265, LB 254, and LB 421. The call is raised. Mr. Clerk, the first bill.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 281 is on Select File. I have no E & R amendments. I do have an amendment to the bill by Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers amendment is on page 739 of the Journal.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers, on your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, let me look at this, because my amendment may have already been adopted.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Fine.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I am on the bill now. I was thinking of a different bill. The amendment says that the provisions of this bill shall apply prospectively or from the date that the bill takes effect, and in order that there will be no confusion, nothing unfair to anybody, we are setting up a new system. We are loosening certain legal requirements so that when that is done I think those kind of activities ought to...the bill should apply only after the bill takes effect. So that is what the amendment would do. It doesn't change anything in the bill, which I don't like. I don't like the bill. But if you are going to have a bill like this, where you can have a noncertified document used in this state for the purpose of depriving an individual of a right or a privilege, then the least you can do is say that it will apply only to things that occur after the date that the bill is passed.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion. Senator Lamb, followed by Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, Mr. President and members, you will remember that there was extended discussion about this bill previously. This has to do with points taken off your license from other states, and this bill allows the Department of Motor Vehicles to certify that in fact you have been convicted in another state, and that the record is true and correct. We have gotten an Attorney General's Opinion on the bill in regard to whether it violates the rules of evidence. The Attorney General's Opinion has been positive. He says the bill is okay, and in regard to