duffers do. And so...and some of us eat out quite a little, so I commend Senator Weihing for bringing this bill to us. So I'm going to certainly support the Wehrbein amendment because I think this is the way to go. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I will wait until closing...this is it.

SPEAKER BARRETT: This is your closing.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I will just, if that's appropriate, I will just say that I think this just gives some flexibility in (inaudible), and that was my concern with Senator Goodrich, originally, that it might not have necessarily required a sign, but the implication was if the Department of Agriculture was to furnish it it seemed to suggest that we wanted a sign, this gives some flexibility in meeting the requirements that what we want should be less of a burden, especially a financial burden on a restaurant and still would get the information to the public and to the consumer is really what we want. So I would urge adoption of this amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The question is the adoption of the Wehrbein amendment to LB 54. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Wehrbein's amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The amendment is adopted.

Mr. President, Senator Korshoj would move to amend the bill. Senator, your amendment is on page 1169 of the Journal.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Korshoj.

SENATOR KORSHOJ: Mr. Speaker and members, the reason I brought this amendment up was I had a constituent in the restaurant business who had been, he thought, harassed and treated unfairly, rudely by possibly an arrogant inspector, so I thought I'd bring this up and go over a little of that on the floor and adopt, try to adopt this amendment, which would give them ten days notice before he could close anybody down. But after talking to the Department of Agriculture they say that their