

excessive, that I did not support the original amendment to tack this on, but I will support Senator Wehrbein's motion here. I think that fee is way too high, or that appropriation was way too high in the A bill. I realize there might be some testing necessary to find out what cooking oils might be being used, but I don't necessarily support mandating the department actually providing the signs. I think, as Roger has indicated, restaurants can, across the state, provide their own signs in some fashion, whether it be on the tables or in the menu. So, with that, I will support Roger's amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Before proceeding to Senator Hall and Senator Weihing for further discussion, I'm pleased to announce that Senator Korshoj has some guests in the north balcony. We have seven high school students from Winnebago with their teacher and sponsor. Would you folks please stand and be recognized. Thank you, we're glad to have you with us today. Senator Hall, further discussion.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Senator Wehrbein, would you yield to a question, please?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR HALL: With regard...Now the amendment that we're dealing with is an amendment that is found on 1140 of the Journal, is that correct?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, and also it's been passed out, it's on your desk.

SENATOR HALL: Okay. They looked identical, and I wondered if they were the same. My question is, where you add noncompliance with this section shall be enforced as provided in the act for other violations. What are we talking about there? Can you refresh my memory?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I think maybe Senator Weihing can answer that better, but that is simply taking out some redundancy we had in the bill. Is that right, Senator Weihing?

SENATOR HALL: Senator Weihing says that is correct. You don't...do you change, through your amendment, Senator Wehrbein,