

funding like this, the mechanism should be appropriately defined in the statutes for distribution and we support the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Schmit, would you like to close on your motion?

SENATOR SCHMIT: I have no closing, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Okay, the question is the adoption of the Schmit amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 16 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Schmit's amendment to his amendment.

PRESIDENT: The amendment to the amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Mr. President, the next amendment I have to Senator Schmit's is by Senator Chambers. Senator, I have the amendment that you provided me yesterday. It's on page 1269 of the Journal.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Members of the Legislature...Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, a copy of this amendment is on your desk. What you have on your desk is a technically correct drafting of the amendment to achieve the purpose that I would have in mind. And the purpose of this amendment is to say that the 1 percent increase in sales tax, to get my disclaimer in, which I am opposed to 110 percent, but that entire amount would be divided between the two purposes that Senator Schmit's amendment aims to do. The last day when we discussed this bill, Senator Warner attached an amendment that would ensure that a portion of this tax would go to the Highway Trust Fund. Since this bill, LB 89, has a specific purpose which is to increase the salaries of teachers, but it's going to be used as a vehicle to also raise the sales tax, I think that the sales tax increase, if it occurs, should go only to the two purposes that Senator Schmit's amendment envisions. By adopting this amendment, we would make sure that none of it goes to the Highway Trust Fund and we would also strike the Warner amendment that was adopted the other day. As Senator Warner pointed out