

in the lobby gave Senator Ashford one of those boiler plate bills with provisions in it that make no sense, that are contradictory and go against the practices of good business that even a person like myself who am not a businessman would never agree to. His advice would be that the agreement be in writing. Anybody who is entering one of these agreements would want it in writing. The only one who would stand to profit by it not being in writing is one who wants to be in a position to manipulate the agreement. So the only kind of agreement we could have that is not in writing now would be the kind that a person would enter into against good legal advise, against sound business practices so that the stronger could manipulate the weaker. But here is what Senator Ashford has told us, I think, that to do what I am trying to do to bring about consistency should be done in the definitional section. Senator Hall tried to do that and Senator Ashford said he tried to do it the wrong way. So, I offered language to try to bring consistency between the definitional section and the text of the bill. Maybe what should have been done, and I thought about doing this except that with the high paid lobbyist drafting this bill, who am I to tell them a better way to do it, but usually when we talk about definitions and words, we say the words shall have the meanings in words to this effect. For purposes of this bill, the definitions found in these sections shall be used unless the context indicates otherwise or requires otherwise or otherwise requires. They could have done that and we wouldn't have had all this discussion. But you've got these geniuses out there making all this money and they can now go to the people who hired them and say, see, I really earned my money. You see how hard it was to get that bill to move. It wasn't hard to get the bill moved because the skids were greased and it was going to move because of who is pushing the bill. That will happen more times before this session is over, but I just want to take the opportunity to show how Senator Hall has, in a yeoman fashion, tried to demonstrate that these bills have infirmities but the body has been conditioned to let the bill go in the form it is offered and these matters are not corrected because they have been instructed in the body...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...not to amend the bill in any fashion. So I'm offering these words of amendment and you can accept them or reject them. You can amend the definitional section, you can amend any part of it you want to or don't amend it. It's on