

Have you all voted? Record. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: I would ask for a record vote.

SPEAKER BARRETT: A record vote has been requested, so be it.

CLERK: (Record vote read. See page 1267 of the Legislative Journal.) 12 ayes, 21 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Motion fails. Next item.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Hall would move to amend.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Mr. Clerk, which amendment are we...?

CLERK: I have number seven in front of me, Senator.

SENATOR HALL: Mr. Clerk, I would respectfully withdraw that amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: I have number eight in front of me now, Senator. (Hall amendment appears on page 1267 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR HALL: I would like to deal with that amendment. Mr. President, the amendment is simple. It strikes one word. On page 6, line 12 it strikes the word "exclusive". And if you'd open your bills to page 6, 371, on line 12 we're dealing with a supplier shall not again, and it fails to provide each wholesaler of the supplier's brand or brands with a written agreement which contains the entire agreement with the wholesaler and designates a specific exclusive sales territory. This is just, excuse me, redundant language because the exclusive issue of a sales territory is spelled out in our three-tier system as we have it in the state today. As you all know, we have the manufacturer or the supplier, as it will, who is the first part of the tier. Then you have the wholesaler, or the distributor. As it's laid out there in statute, they have exclusive franchise to sell the product in a franchised area. There is no need at this time, I don't think there is any need for the bill, but there is no need at this point for the issue