

SENATOR ASHFORD: I suspect that the ownership could descend to a child but there would have to be...under the liquor license statute, there would have to be someone else as the designated manager of the business in order to get the license, but that's different.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why?

SENATOR ASHFORD: Why?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why would that be necessary?

SENATOR ASHFORD: Because the liquor laws require it and...but there are two separate issues.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What do the liquor laws require?

SENATOR ASHFORD: If you show me the liquor laws I can...I'm speculating that what you would do would be have a manager who would qualify, but the ownership interest of the franchise could be transferred to a child. I'm sure that's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why couldn't the child manage?

SENATOR ASHFORD: Because I'm sure the liquor laws would require someone of age to manage.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Am I my father's child? We don't say anything about age. Do you all see what we are dealing with here? People assume certain things. Child simply means the relationship that you have to a parent. Methuselah lived to 969 but he was Mr. Methuselah's son, Mr. Methuselah's child. This bill, I think, has some provisions in it that are not clearly understood by the body, but since Engineer Ryan is at the throttle, got his hat turned backward, it's going to roll. There are...Senator Ashford speculates about the existence of oral contracts, he says that when this bill takes effect they have to be reduced to writing.

SPEAKER BARRETT PRESIDING

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time. Senator Ashford.

SENATOR ASHFORD: Question.