in this section here, but it was called to my attention, when it was checked, that it's the following section where that distribution is in the statutes now.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, so then the one that is not before us, but that you're talking about, is the distribution section that would deal with the distribution of the sales tax, whatever the rate would happen to be.

SENATOR WARNER: That is correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, if your amendment were not adopted here, it seems to me that this that we're doing here would prevail over the general distribution formula, because the specific takes priority over the general and that which is more recent in time takes priority over that which is more distant in time.

SENATOR WARNER: Sometimes Attorney General rulings come down that way, although you understand that that distribution, at the time that money comes into the treasury, is made before...I believe is made before this would occur. I just don't know, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR WARNER: All I'm trying to do is, if you want to do all of the additional sales tax to go to this fund, the bottom line is the proper section to amend is the one I'm saying, and then there is no problem.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. Thank you. Oh, before you sit, let me restate in a question and see if it's what you're saying. That the rejection of this present amendment that you're offering to Senator Schmit's amendment, in order to achieve what I would have in mind, by rejecting it, there would also have to be an amendment to the section that you're talking about that relates to distribution that would reflect the rejection of your amendment on Senator Schmit's amendment, with reference to the new 1 percent.

SENATOR WARNER: It certainly would be desirable and I think necessary.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, thank you. I just wanted it before us as clearly as we can get it what it is we're contending with.