doing in this amendment is asking you to support me in striking the sections that dealt with their inclusion in the bill and then we're adding words which were originally stricken which are part of what they look at, I guess, as far as I have been told by attorneys, they need to have the words "reasonable person" reinstated back into the bill because it is a term that they use in legal circles. And so this is why it's important. Also I would like to change the words "sketch" to the word...or to the words "map drawn to scale", which was my intent originally and I don't know how that escaped me. So, basically, that's the intent of the amendment and I would ask your support and if there are any questions, I would try to answer them.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion on the motion? Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if Senator Smith would respond to a question or two.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Smith.

SENATOR WITHEM: Senator Smith, as I understand the bill, it sets up procedural policies that cities will follow when they are annexing that are primarily designed to give some type of due process, due process involvement in...for those individuals that live in those areas so they will receive notification, have some input into the process, be able to know what's likely to be happening with them. Is that the intent of the bill?

SENATOR SMITH: Absolutely.

SENATOR WITHEM: Okay, and you...and on Select File, you thought it was a good idea and I agreed with you at that time to making this apply to all categories of cities, not just Class I's, and so we amended it on Select File to make it apply to all categories of cities?

SENATOR SMITH: Yes, that's right.

SENATOR WITHEM: Okay, and now you're standing, saying that shouldn't apply to all categories of cities, Class I's are the only ones that should have to comply with this?

SENATOR SMITH: Yes. Would you let me...