

schools and Class VI happens to be just one of the names that we give to that kind of a school system. Thank you...or that kind of a school in that system.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. President and members, I have a question of Senator Moore.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Moore, would you respond?

SENATOR MOORE: Yes.

SENATOR LAMB: Does this...then would...these Class VI schools would no longer be known as Class VI? Would we have Class I, II, III, IV, V, and then the next one would be regional high schools? Or would it be a dual name for it?

SENATOR MOORE: No, it would no longer be a Class VI school in statute. It would only be Class I, II, III, IV, V and a regional high school system.

SENATOR LAMB: Well, I guess I, you know, I don't see that it's a big thing one way or another but the inconvenience of that, it seems to me, does not merit approval. You have a system now where all of the schools are in a certain class and I think you're going to cause confusion when you...now you have five classes of school districts but then when you come to another class you don't have a number for it, you've got a rather cumbersome name. I don't think it's a big issue but I guess I see it as being a very cumbersome method of identifying school districts.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker and members of the body, I am a member of the Education Committee. I certainly didn't kill the bill or vote against it because Senator Moore brought the bill to us. I do have to admit that his batting average is not very good out of Education Committee and I sometimes feel ashamed of that and I in no way am picking on Senator Moore. But the reason that I did vote against the bill, it's not a big issue with me and it's not one that I am dead set one way or the other but, okay, are we going to say Omaha metropolitan district or so on? And there are 22 schools that are involved but I really see