## SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, I guess that answers my question for now.

SENATOR WESELY: Yeah.
SENATOR WEHRBEIN: All right.
SPEAKER BARRETT: Any other discussion? Seeing none, Senator Ashford, for closing.

SENATOR ASHEORD: Yes, just briefly, thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. The Job Service Program administered by the Department of Social Services has increased the number of employees having found employment from 2,698 in FY85 to 7,550 in FY88. The amount of one month savings attributed to the program has ir:creased each year from 600,460 in FY85 in $2,025,290$ in FY88, and the numbers, I have additional numbers here, but it alí shows a picture that is fairly positive as far as the ability of the department, through its job service and other programs, to bring these people on line onto the employment rolls, and $I$ believe, again, that LB 449 is another enhancement piece to that puzzle and would urge its advancement. Thans you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. You have heard the closing and the question is the (mike off). Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nay. Mr. Presideat, on the advancement of 449.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The bill is advanced. The A bill, Mr. Clerk.
CLERK: LB 449A, Mr. President, offered by Senator wesely. (Read title.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Wesely.
SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to clarif, for Senator Wehrbein. The A bill is correct based on the committee amendments. The fiscal note... I thought he was referring to the fiscal note is wrong based on the committee amendments. The fiscal note is right, though, based on the ariginal bill but the committee amendments deleted the rast majority of the expenditure. So this A bill is correct as I understand at this point. I move for the advancement of the $A$ bill.

