SENATOR MOORE: Now, am I correct that the City of Omaha, you said Commissioner...Councilman Conley came in and said, fine, they could live without the $200,000? Is that what was said?

SENATOR HALL: Councilman Conley wrote a letter, submitted it to the members of the committee and said that he was in support of LB 775.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, I guess the problem I have is, I mean, the second thing is your argument is that the City of Omaha shouldn't keep this if they don't do anything for it. Correct?

SENATOR HALL: Correct. That's been my argument in the past.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, there's another bill floating around this year, LB 683, which deals with cigarette tax for cities that, you know, Omaha doesn't want the money now but they want money for the cigarette tax and they don't do anything for that one either, I guess. And so I know you're not a co-sponsor now (interruption).

SENATOR HALL: No, I'm not.

SENATOR MOORE: But I guess I'm asking you, am I wrong in thinking that the rationale should apply to both of them then?

SENATOR HALL: Yes.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes, I'm wrong?

SENATOR HALL: Yes. (Laughter.)

SENATOR MOORE: Okay, that's fine. That's all I need. I wanted to make sure I was wrong when I said that.

SENATOR HALL: Okay.

PRESIDENT: Senator Beck, please, followed by Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BECK: I think it's time that we answer some of the questions that the folks have had. And, certainly, if you were to talk probably to other members of the City Council and the City Lobbyist from Omaha, they would say, well, yes, we do too