

PRESIDENT: And you're also the next speaker.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

PRESIDENT: Okay. Mr. Clerk.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Yes, Mr. President, I have an amendment from Senator Chambers. On page 10, line 7, starting with the word "You" strike all language through line 10. That's from Senator Chambers.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, contrary to what Senator McFarland may have indicated, I think I've read the bill more carefully than he has read it. And I deliberately raised the issue of the noneligible student athlete because I want him to go back and read that, very carefully, the definition and I want him to read every context in which that term applies. Then he may reverse the very thing he just said. But at any rate, this amendment will remove this language from the form contract which the Secretary of State would approve. "You may jeopardize your standing as a student athlete by entering into this contract under the rules for eligibility established by or adhered to by your institution of higher education." The form begins on the previous page, and it starts talking about the provisions that would have to be printed in prominent type of the agent contract. It's a situation where Senator McFarland, I think, has lifted language from provisions in other states, or that have been offered, has not analyzed them well and is putting things into a contract which need not be put there. Why don't they have to write into the contract what the fee schedule is? Why don't they have to write into the contract every single thing that Senator McFarland requires to be filed with the Secretary of State? If he wants to give all this protection to the player that he says he's interested in, and I have no doubt, by the way, that Senator McFarland is interested in the player, but these kind of bills are not player oriented. Now I want to ask him a question, since he's through with the desk. Senator McFarland, in response to a question from Senator Schmit, you acknowledged that currently a player could go to any person in whom he has confidence, whether it be father, brother, another player or whatever. Is that correct that a player right now, without this bill, can go to any person he or she chooses for representation as an agent?