

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you. With that in mind, let me return to asking Senator Kristensen...Senator Kristensen, with Senator Chambers' agreement to the body that we would be able to use whatever the Attorney General had for us to illuminate our work on this bill, is it...would you consider withdrawing your motion to bracket, having that understanding, allowing the bill to be acted on today and then, should we receive instruction, have a chance to take that instruction into account when we know that the bill should be slowed up and adjustments should be made?

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Well, my initial discussions with Senator Chambers were, as he stated, that we were going to do that when the discussion came up here today about people who had questions on the bill, that's when the bracket motion appeared. So Senator Chambers is right that we had talked about doing that on Final Reading if there were amendments, to bring them back specifically and do them at that time. My bracket motion was basically to calm some of the questions and fears of the body and not any disagreement between just Senator Chambers and myself. So I really don't have a problem doing that.

SENATOR LANDIS: I consider the body do this, that we withdraw the bracket motion and see if the bill has the votes to advance. If it does, we have time to take into account, Senator Chambers has given us the acknowledgement that he will not press for the passage of this bill until we know the Attorney General's advice to us, and, at that point, should there be some specific changes, we would have the time and opportunity to do that. But if there are no changes necessary, the bill would not be impeded in its normal flow through the body and allow it to move on or at least face the trial by fire of a board vote yet this morning.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Lindsay, on the motion to bracket, followed by Senator Warner.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. President, and members, this bill I think is somewhat...gives me real mixed feelings. I think some of Senator Chambers' arguments are persuasive but, by the same token, I think there are some legitimate questions that may be addressed in the Attorney General's Opinion. I had my light on before Senator Landis's suggestion and that suggestion does sound reasonable to me. I did vote to advance the bill on General File and I would probably vote to advance it again on Select File. I will not vote to advance it on Final Reading