SENATOR LANDIS: Senator Chambers, let me ask you a question. Is it reasonable to expect that by the time Final Reading came on 397 that the body have available to it whatever instruction the Attorney General might five us as to potential conflicts, legislation, adjustments that we should make in the bill prior to a Final Reading vote on the bill? Is that a reasonable condition for the Legislature to be in?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What is the time frame you mentioned again?

SENATOR LANDIS: Prior to Final Reading adoption of 397.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, they would have that, and they should have it.

SENATOR LANDIS: Let me ask you this. Would you agree with Senator Kristensen or Senator Schmit or with the body that 397 would not be read on Final Reading until such time as we had the Attorney General's Opinion, so that if there were some suggestions, if there were some arrangements or changes that needed to be made to comport it to constitutional standards, that we would have that opportunity prior to final passage? Would you be willing to allow 397 not to be read in its Final Reading until we have that Attorney General's Opinion?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. And Senator Labedz...Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Landis. I know, I heard that Labedz. (inaudible), Ernie. I have confused with Senator Wesely and Senator Beutler, but this is the first time. By the way, I've been confused for you, as a matter of fact. I've been called Senator Chambers but I've never been called Senator Labedz before.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's because of your wit, charisma, humor and intelligence that you were confused with me. It was just the pronunciation of the names that made my tongue stumble. But I had agreed to with Senator Kristensen was that not only would I not have the bill read on Final Reading but I would be amenable to amendments and I would help with the process of returning it to Select File to attach the amendments. So nothing in my doing was done by subterfuge. That's what I had agreed to do already.