

not all remains go back, not all artifacts. The ones that aren't identifiable keep, study, fair enough. Not all remains which are to be returned, only those remains which white man's records indicate come from a Pawnee burial or an Indian burial site will be returned, and only then if there is a request. You may keep the other remains. You may study if you wish. Additionally, we will give you time to study and allow this to be examined for the value that study has. We will recognize your value of study and learning and inquiry. In return we wish to have our values recognized and returned so that after you're done with a reasonable amount of time to study, we get to also exonerate our value here by reinterring these bones. Now...and the artifacts. In this case, Senator Warner's amendment, I think represents the decision to choose one winner, one perspective that is correct, one perspective that is more important than the other perspective. And the failure of that, in my estimation, is to attempt to find what reconciliation is possible and I think at least some better reconciliation is possible. I agree with Senator Warner that language will be difficult. I don't agree that it is impossible. Certainly what will make the language impossible is if there are not good working relationships in carrying out the language. That's where the language falls into hard times. It's when two people are set out to see that they don't agree with each other and take every measured opportunity to strike a blow for their preconceptions, and frankly, that's the way I see this debate having occurred, at least with respect to some of the members of the discussion.

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: My suggestion is this. My suggestion is that in this body, rather than deciding today as to whether or not this is exactly the form of 340 that we support, give ourselves this task for the vote this morning. Do we want to return Indian remains and develop a method of the return of artifacts that will balance interests? If we do, vote yes, advance the bill and reject the Warner amendment. If we do that I think the lobby should take that as a signal that says, one more round of discussions to do two things, sharpen language and, secondly, begin building working relationships where we're going to have to live under the arrangement of 340. Start now, don't wait for a Select File fight, don't wait for a Final Reading fight, take the vote today as a sign that Nebraska has declared we intend to make this system work. I would suggest to you that you cast