are identified with a particular set of skeletal...

SENATOR BAACK: With a specific skeletal remain.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay. What they are saying, this one group. and, again, I am just reading what they said here, so I don't know if they are right or wrong, but they indicate that their fear is that you can read the language of the committee amendments to say if the certain burial goods are identified with the Pawnee, for instance, even if it is not identified...well, maybe it is identified with a certain set of skeletal remains, but, nevertheless, not a particular set, that you could still have those burial goods go back to the tribe, for instance, or something like that. That is their big fear. Is that, you don't see it that way, I understand, but can you describe that circumstance and how the language makes that distinction?

SENATOR BAACK: Well, I don't know exactly how they interpret it that way. I think the language is very, very specific in saying that it has to be be identified with a specific skeletal remain. I think it is about as narrowly drawn as the language can be drawn. I know, you know, there are differing lists out there of how many go back and these sort of things, but it seems to me that the language is about as specific as we can get.

SENATOR WESELY: Okay. Well, the concept would be that if you have identified that this is a particular skeletal remain of an individual, and with that remain when they found it, they found along with it identifiable burial goods, and so when that is sent back to be reburied, it would be those burial goods going with that skeletal remains, and then off it would go and be handled that way, is that...?

SENATOR BAACK: That is correct.

SENATOR WESELY: If you found burial goods that couldn't be identified in such a fashion, if they were in a dig or something in amongst the other things that came up they found this, it was with the Pawnee, for instance, or whoever, but it didn't go to a particular skeletal remain, it just was found as they were digging, that would not be sent back. That would be...

SENATOR BAACK: That would not be sent back, and from my understanding, and I am not an an archeologist, but from my