

March 1, 1989

LB 340

have a question of either Senator Baack or Senator Chambers. During your comments Senator Baack, during the introduction of the committee amendments, you mentioned prehistorical burial goods and remains, and I would kind of like for the record to know at what point in time does the definition or what point in time it would be a particular set of remains becomes prehistoric.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, Senator Elmer, I am assuming that that is, and in talking to Dr. Svingen, that is an archeological term that is readily, you know, has a definition that is set for those kind of remains, for the prehistoric kinds of remains. I cannot give you a specific date because I don't know what it is.

SENATOR ELMER: Is it very easy to establish a time period that might be that we could have a feeling in the body of what really is prehistorical and what is not?

SENATOR BAACK: I am assuming that it is, but I will go talk to Dr. Svingen or somebody and find out if we can identify it to a specific date.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Smith, then Senator Wesely.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to ask questions also, if I might, either of Senator Baack or Senator Chambers, either one of you who feel that you can answer the questions, I don't care which one.

PRESIDENT: Senator Baack, please.

SENATOR SMITH: I just have a number of questions here, and this one should go to Senator Baack. Senator Baack, when I was listening to your section by section detailing of the committee amendments, and I believe you were talking about Section 4 when you mentioned a specific number of nonbead burial items, and I didn't get the number of those.

SENATOR BAACK: Senator Smith, the number of those, I believe, was 1,054. If I could find my notes here, I could tell you for sure but I think that is what it was.

SENATOR SMITH: Okay, thank you. Now the next question is, are