CLERK: 18 ayes, 0 mays to go under call, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The house is under call. Members, please return to your seats and record your presence. Those outside Legislative Chamber, please return to the Chamber. Unauthorized personnel, please leave the floor. Ashford, please record your presence. Senator Chambers, the house is under call. Senator Moore, please. Senator Labedz, Thank you. Senator Schmit. Senator Labedz, Senator Weihing. the house is under call. Senator Baack, we have only apparently one yet absent, do you want to wait? Thank you. return to your seats for a roll call vote. Voting on the Lamb amendment to the committee amendments. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Roll call vote read. See pages 902-03 of the Legislative Journal.) 18 ayes, 21 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The motion fails. The call is raised. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Lamb would move to amend. Senator, I have AM471 in front of me, found on the same Journal page.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Yes, Mr. President and members, still on page 813 of the Journal if you would care to turn to that. It's amendment number (3). On page 8, line 3, after the first comma strike...oh, on lines 12 and...strike, on lines 12 and 13 strike the words "previous disciplinary proceedings". Under Section 5, school board of an option district is allowed to adopt standards for accepting or rejecting applications for transfer. The only standard which may be included is the standard dealing with the capacity of the program class or school building. standards which are not allowed include previous disciplinary proceedings and this amendment would strike those three words. In other words, we would not like to make transferring an option for a student who has disciplinary problems and who is, obviously using that as the reason for transferring to another school. I think the reasoning behind this amendment is obvious, that the student should not have an option to run away from these problems. It's easily argued that the choice bill will