

SENATOR WARNER: ...but none of them relate to asbestos. I don't recall that Mr. Verret appeared on this.

SENATOR HALL: Excuse me, Mr. Verret, I mean, he's listed down there as...it would have been George Verret.

SENATOR WARNER: Oh, the one from Omaha.

SENATOR HALL: Right.

SENATOR WARNER: He was proposing the use of fans, as I recall.

SENATOR HALL: Well, you never know what George is going to propose. I just...I have run into George a couple different times but he's a good fellow.

SENATOR WARNER: Right. He made an interesting presentation on the basis that fans in public rooms, such as schools, help, in essence, with conservation and movement of the air, and so forth. Yes, he made a very interesting presentation.

SENATOR HALL: Well, Senator Warner, the institutional...the program that was institution conservation, would...what does that entail? You ran through the listing and that was one that...I guess my point is even though the removal of asbestos, if it was incorporated into an energy conservation program because asbestos was used for insulation and some other purposes of that nature, would it qualify at that point under this program or would it not?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, I can't...my impression is that it does not. The description I have here is help schools for the Institutional Conservation Program, help schools and hospitals implement energy conservation procedures.

SENATOR HALL: Okay.

SENATOR WARNER: There is...now, I suppose, you know, number one, if there was a specific project that involved in the process of installing some insulation and in that process something was removed that has asbestos and if it was approved by DOT, you know, I assume it's possible but the emphasis, I'm sure, would not be the...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thirty seconds.