entering a more technical era, a more...certainly more complicated era and the children ought to be better prepared rather than less well prepared.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Beck, Senator Moore on deck.

SENATOR BECK: Mr. Chairman and members of the body, I just want to commend Senator Withem and the Education Committee for this type of program. As I look at it, since it is, as he said, yet a fuzzy...kind of a fuzzy concept, it reminds me somewhat of the magnet schools that have been used particularly in the Omaha Public School District and I think those are superlative I'm thinking of a high school in my own district, so schools. I'm putting dibs on the funds this morning, (laugh) because it's a school that is in a process of change. It's a high school that has a fine academic and vocational tradition so it's not that it's a bad school. It's a school though that we all would like to see better because it is involved in the very changing process of the society around it and the neighborhoods around And so I guess I'm just standing up to commend Senator Withem and I think that this would be an extremely good program. It's not an expensive one and it would give us some models, and as I said, and I hope that Senator Withem remembers and the body remembers, that I would like to see some of those funds come into District 8 into a high school that has a fine tradition, but is in a process of change, a family school, a school with a lower enrollment and one I think that would fit very well into this kind of program, and with that, I have nothing else to add.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, Senator Withem, I hesitate to rise once again on one of your bills this morning. Once again, this bill I find, conceptually, I agree with, but the more I read this bill the more concerns I have and, you know, if you read the bill Section 1, Section 2, and then you get into Section 3, Section 4 and Section 5 which I'm going to call kind of the bribe sections of the bill that encourage schools to do some things and I guess with what Senator Beck just mentioned, there is some schools already doing these things and she just made a case for my concern is the fact that why do we need to give these schools additional money if they're already doing it. And I guess the first question I have of Senator Withem is, if you take away the Sections 3 through 5 that giv actual monies to some model schools, couldn't you