them. So it does attempt to get at the source of the problen as opposed to... and the individual who is basically making a fairly gooc living, as it was pointed out in committee, doing this. I thirk there was testimony to the effect that one individual was responsible, in Omaha, for nearly 100 of these IDs that had been confiscated over a very short period of time. So, with that, I think that the bill, although it did question the harshness of the penalty when it was explained by Senator Hannibal and by those who supported the measure, it, I think, is very much justified and does get to the root of the problem. With that, I would urge the bcdy to advance LB 440 to $E \& R$ Initial.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Bernard-Stevens, on the advancement of LB 440 .

SENA COR BERNARD-STEVENS: Senator Hannibal, would you yield to a ques:-ion?

SENAZOR LABEDZ: Senator Hannibal.
SENATOR HANNIBAL: I'll respond.
SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I understood what Senator hall said. I was just going through LB 440 and trying to find the section that would clarify a question for me. A student or child, under 18 years of age, who decides they are going to try to alter their own license, because they want to get alcohol or possibly even chewing tobacco, or something along that line, just to throw that out. But if I went ahead and falsified my ID myself and tried to do so and was caught, would that person be also guilty then of a Class I misdemeanor?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: No, that person is already, under ourrent law, guilty of a class III misdemeanor and we are not changing that part.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: I guess I'm trying to find a section that would clarify that because I read it any person, licensee, violating any of the provisicins...let's see if $I$ can find it. Section... I guess on page 3, subsection 3, "any person who knowingly manufactures, creates or alters any form of identification", to me, that wculd cover some individual, a young person who tried to falsify their own personal ID to try to get into some establishment to get something that was illegal. Is there another section that clarifies that or

