February 16, 1989 LB 165

little bit, we are state senators first. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Nelson, please, followed by Senator Hannibal.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I sure wished I was able to have spoke before Senator Chizek, but anyhow, he almost said what I want to say. I'm certainly going to vote to reconsider and no way would I want to do something that would harm another senator's district or Omaha because I, too, need their support and would like to have their support and consideration on something outstate or for Grand Island, Fonner Park, or whatever it may be. I, too, have reservations about this. I would honestly hate to see my city council and mayor all reelected for the same remons that Senator Smith has, and am doing is I see myself maybe injecting yet what I personalities in current situations which we should not do in statutes or the laws. I guess, to be honest, I probably the would have no problem with my county supervisors but yet, in my I have problems in allowing the mayor and the council a mind, complete turnover. But I will vote to reconsider and I am...a question in my mind, what I am doing is the best, but, again, on the other hand, I have to rely on what some of the Omaha senators feel and they are closer to this situation and maybe hope and pray that that doesn't follow through outstate and I have to use their own best interests. With that, I will vote to reconsider at least.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Hannibal with Senator Schimek on deck.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Mr. President. I don't want to be too redundant on what Ernie has said, but I do have some of the same kinds...as Senator Chambers has said, I do have some of the same kinds of responses to some of the objections put forward think that Senator Lamb and Senator Smith expressed and I concerns about "creepyism", I believe was the word that they and I do suggest that that sometimes can happen. used, Precedent setting might be a more formal term, but we address those kinds of issues all the time on the floor of the Legislature that if we're going to do it this way over here, we should do it the same way over there. We address that kind of issue on a multitude of different issues that come before us. I don't think it's a valid concern, a domino effect. We hear that argument, it hasn't proven out in case after case after case.