

bond exemptions in 556 is consistent with that for all other political subdivisions. It just simply makes it uniform. With that I would urge the body's adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion? The question is the acceptance of the committee amendments. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Please vote if you care to. We are voting on the committee amendments. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the committee amendments.

PRESIDENT: The committee amendments are adopted. Senator Abboud, on the advancement of the bill.

SENATOR ABBOUD: Mr. President and colleagues, this bill was brought in on behalf of the Nebraska County Officials Association. What the bill does is it provides doing away with the supersedeas bond, and a supersedeas bond is used on an appeal from a county or district court level. Currently, under Nebraska law, the following entities are currently exempted from posting a supersedeas bond: The State of Nebraska, cities of the primary class, metropolitan utilities districts, cities of the metropolitan class, cities of the first class. Counties are one of the few political subdivisions which are required by statute to post or provide a supersedeas cash bond in any type of equity action in which they become involved. The counties are also required to post a supersedeas bond when petitioning to set aside a judgment at the Supreme Court. So those are probably the only three instances, an appeal from the county, appeal from district court to Supreme Court, and a motion to set aside a judgment after the Supreme Court. I handed out a sheet of paper that shows the statutes dealing with different entities. A lot of these laws were provided for...the nonrequirement of the supersedeas bond was done quite awhile ago with a lot of these subdivisions. For the metropolitan area, it was done in '61; MUD in '65; it goes down the list there, you can see down at the bottom when this was taken care of. There wasn't any opposition to the bill. I think it is good bill. I don't think there is any fear that a county would not pay if a judgment against it did occur after they lost an appeal. I urge the adoption of the bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion? If not, the question is the advancement of the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed