

or restrict any legitimate concerns that others may have.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Any other discussion? Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Yes, Mr. Speaker, members. I think Senator Chambers raised a good point. And I think that this amendment deals with it adequately, that you come onto the property, you're trespassing, if you have a severe injury this would take care of that circumstance. If you have just the normal dog defending the property, I think that is one thing. But if a dog goes out and really, really hurts somebody, I think that's a different one. And this will draw that line. Again a line I think needs to be drawn, as Senator Chambers said. So I feel comfortable with the amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Would Senator Chambers, in his close on this amendment, at least define the distinction between severe and nonsevere, because if we don't have some kind of guidelines in it, the courts are going to say whoops, unenforceable. If somebody will actually define...make a distinction so that the court interpreting it can say, okay, this is severe, this is not severe.

SENATOR WESELY: Could I respond to that, Senator Goodrich?

SENATOR GOODRICH: Sure.

SPEAKER BARRETT: (Inaudible response.)

SENATOR WESELY: Senator Goodrich, if you look on page 3, line 25, it does define severe injury, so it's in the statute. So this would clarify that.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Any closing? The question is the adoption of the Chambers-Wesely amendment. Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of the Chambers-Wesely amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The amendment is adopted. Anything else?

CLERK: Nothing further, Mr. President.