

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, so it would still be a crime?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, you seem to have indicated that what I'm saying is that because of overcrowding we should eliminate crimes. Now I want to give you a chance to correct that statement in reference to what I've said. Isn't my approach to prevent the enhancement of a punishment for an existing crime rather than an attempt to eliminate a crime?

SENATOR LINDSAY: I will grant you that and say that it's correct, yes, not eliminate the crimes but let's just start imposing fines instead of jail time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right, now when you say that more men have been arrested recently by the Omaha police than there have been women arrested in these prostitution related activities, what is the period of time when that increased arrest activity began to take place? Do you have any idea? If you don't, that's all right.

SENATOR LINDSAY: My understand... Well, the increases took place in 1988. And my understanding is after some seminar that the enforcement people went to... in Georgia, I believe.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, so it was a rainy night in Georgia for the prostitutes, huh? Now, are you against free enterprise, Senator Lindsay?

SENATOR LINDSAY: (Laugh.) No, I'm not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you feel that, if women and men met each other on the street and offered their sexual attentions free of charge... first of all, is that a crime?

SENATOR LINDSAY: It's my understanding that it is not.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So it's evil if you get money for doing this, but it's not verboten by the society if you do it for nothing?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Right, kind of like smokeless tobacco.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How does that stack up with you?