

very carefully, because some times we get on Select File and we say, well, we'll just go ahead and move it to Final and we'll go from there. Each time you move a bill on its way it obviously gets a little bit closer. And obviously we do have some technical problems, well, we have some major problems on the Bernard-Stevens amendment that we would like to solve. And the Bernard-Stevens amendment would do that. What I would respectfully ask the members of the body to do, that if you were going to support the Bernard-Stevens amendment and you were also going to support the bill, of course if you weren't going to support the bill that is fine, but if you were going to support the Bernard-Stevens amendment, I would respectfully ask that you would consider voting no on the advancement and/or not voting on the advancement, because I'd like to remind at least the new members of the body that it does take 25 votes in order to advance. If it does not advance all that would happen is that we would again have a vote when it comes up again on Select File on the Bernard-Stevens amendment, we would vote on that quickly, one way or the other, up or down, and then the bill would be on its way. On Final Reading it would be basically the same process. I would ask the members not to advance the bill simply because we deserve, as a body, spending this much time to vote on the Bernard-Stevens amendment with as many people as possible. I understand, I was speaking with the Speaker, that there is no real day you can have all people here. And I understand that. The motorcycle helmet bill, last year, was a classic example where one side didn't have enough so they moved to reconsider, and then the other side didn't have enough, and we did that all the time. But in this particular case, the numbers of people that are absent that are on the Bernard-Stevens amendment truly does make a significant difference. Otherwise, if there were two or three people, I wouldn't be making this request because that is about as good as you can get on any day. I respectfully ask the members to not vote for advancement, or voting no and/or simply not voting, and we'll get to this then at a later time. I thank the Chair and would like to make, I guess, one other comment. Reminding the people of the Bernard-Stevens amendment. It is very crucial that we understand that we are dealing with... I would like to deal, in my amendment, which we do, with cancer across the board on smoking related. I don't want to focus on the small portion of the 38 people of 2,300 that died in this state. I don't want to have a specific bill just for that group. I would like to have a bill not only for that group but for the other 2,300, as well, including the minors. And I think if the dentists would